

FORM PTO-1500 (U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE) (REV. 10-2000) TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. 006020.00016 U.S. APPLICATION NO. (if known, give 35-Office #) TBA 107009268
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/AU00/00673	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 16 June 2000	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 17 June 1999
TITLE OF INVENTION IMAGE ENHANCEMENT SYSTEM		
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EQ/US Philip Victor HARMAN et al.		
Applicant herewith submits to the United State Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:		
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is an express request to promptly begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). 4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (PCT Article 31). 5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)) a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau). b. <input type="checkbox"/> has been communicated by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). 6. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). 7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)) a. <input type="checkbox"/> are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau). b. <input type="checkbox"/> have been communicated by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. d. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made. 8. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). 9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)). 10. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).		
Items 11-16 below concern other document(s) or information included:		
11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97 and 1.98. 12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An Assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 3.28 and 3.31 is included. 13. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment. <input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment. 14. <input type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification. 15. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter. 16. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other items or information: International Search Report (ISA/AU) Application Data Sheet (ADS)		

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, See 37 CFR 1.55)		INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO.	
TBA 10/009268		PCT/AU00/00673		006020.00016	
17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The following fees are submitted: Basic National Fee (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)):				CALCULATIONS	
Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2) paid to EPO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO				PTO USE ONLY	
International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO				\$1,040.00	
International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.455(a)(2)) paid to USPTO				\$890.00	
International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4)				\$740.00	
International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4)				\$710.00	
ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =				\$100.00	
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).				\$0.00	
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE		
Total Claims	41 -20 =	21	X \$18.00		\$378.00
Independent Claims	8 -3 =	5	X \$ 84.00		\$420.00
Multiple dependent claims (if applicable)			X \$280.00		\$0.00
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =				\$1838.00	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated below above are reduced by 1/2.				\$919.00	
SUBTOTAL =				\$919.00	
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$0.00	
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =				\$919.00	
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property.				\$40.00	
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =				\$959.00	
+				Amount to be:	\$
				refunded	
				charged	\$
<p>a. <input type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of \$_____ to cover the above fees is enclosed.</p> <p>b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please charge my Deposit Account No. 19-0733 in the amount of <u>\$959.00</u> to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.</p> <p>c. <input type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0733. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.</p>					
<p>NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.</p>					
<p>SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:</p> <p>Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. Eleventh Floor 1001 G Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-4597</p>					
<p>Telephone: (202) 508-9100</p>					
<p>Signature: <u>Gary D. Fedorochko</u> SIGNATURE</p> <p>Gary D. Fedorochko Registration No. 35,509</p>					
<p>Date: December 10, 2001</p>					

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Philip Victor HARMAN et al.

Serial No.: TBA

Filed: Herewith

For: IMAGE ENHANCEMENT SYSTEM

Atty. Dkt. No.: 006020.00016

U.S. National Stage of

International Application No.:

PCT/AU00/00673

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

BOX PCT

Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D. C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination and calculation of any claim fees, please amend the instant application as follows:

IN THE ABSTRACT:

Following the Claims section, insert the attached Abstract of the Disclosure.

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Page 1, after the title of the invention, insert the following section:

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS:

This is a U.S. National Stage Application under 35 U.S.C. § 371 of International Application No. PCT/AU00/00673, filed June 16, 2000, which was published Under PCT Article 21(2) in English, and which claims priority to Australian Application No. PQ1018, filed June 17, 1999, the entire contents of which are incorporated herein by reference.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please replace claims 1-30, with the following new claims 31-71:

31. (New) A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

- determining a value of pixels on adjacent lines;
- determining a Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the pixels on adjacent lines; and
- utilizing the RMS value to create said interpreted line.

32. (New) A method as claimed in claim 31 wherein the step of determining the value of pixels on adjacent lines includes determining amplitude and hue of said pixels.

33. (New) A method as claimed claim 31 wherein said RMS value of an interpreted pixel is determined by:

$$\text{RMS Value} = \sqrt{\{A^2 + B^2\}/2}$$

wherein A represents a pixel immediately above said interpreted pixel, and B represents a pixel immediately below said interpreted pixel.

34. (New) A method as claimed in claim 31 wherein said RMS value is approximated.

35. (New) A method as claimed in claim 34 wherein said approximate RMS value is determined from a lookup table.

36. (New) A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

- determining a value of R, G and B components of pixels on adjacent lines;
- determining a Root Mean Square (RMS) value of each said R, G and B components of the pixels on adjacent lines; and
- utilizing each RMS value to create said interpreted line.

37. (New) A method as claimed claim 36 wherein said RMS value of an interpreted pixel is determined by:

$$\text{RMS Value} = \sqrt{(A^2 + B^2)/2}$$

wherein A represents a pixel immediately above said interpreted pixel, and B represents a pixel immediately below said interpreted pixel.

38. (New) A method as claimed in claim 37 wherein said RMS value is approximated.

39. (New) A method as claimed in claim 38 wherein said approximate RMS value is determined from a lookup table.

40. (New) A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

determining a value of R, G and B components of pixels on adjacent lines;
determining a Root Mean Square (RMS) value of each said R, G and B components of the pixels on adjacent lines; and
utilizing each RMS value to create said interpreted line.

41. (New) A method as claimed claim 40 wherein said RMS value of an interpreted pixel is determined by:

$$\text{RMS Value} = \sqrt{(A^2 + B^2)/2}$$

wherein A represents a pixel immediately above said interpreted pixel, and B represents a pixel immediately below said interpreted pixel.

42. (New) A method as claimed claim 40 wherein said RMS value is approximated.

43. (New) A method as claimed in claim 42 wherein said approximate RMS value is determined from a lookup table.

44. (New) A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

determining a value of pixels on adjacent lines;

accessing a memory device utilizing the value of said adjacent pixels as an address for said memory device wherein an approximate Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the pixels on adjacent lines is stored at said address; and

utilizing the RMS value to create said interpreted line.

45. (New) A method as claimed in claim 44 wherein said memory device is a ROM.

46. (New) A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

determining a value of pixels on adjacent lines;

consulting a lookup table to determine an approximate Root Mean Square (RMS) value of said adjacent pixels; and

utilizing the RMS value to create said interpreted line.

47. (New) A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

determining a value of a first pixel located above an interpreted pixel;

determining a value of a second pixel located below said interpreted pixel;

calculating a difference value between said first and second pixels;

utilizing said difference value to index a lookup table and obtain a table value;

calculating an added value by adding said table value to the lesser of said first and second pixel values; and

assigning said calculated value to said interpreted pixel.

48. (New) A method as claimed in claim 47 wherein said table value is predefined such that said added value is an approximate Root Mean Square (RMS) value of said first and second pixels.

49. (New) A method as claimed in claim 47 wherein said table value is stored in a memory device and said difference value is an address to obtain said table value.

50. (New) A method as claimed claim 47 wherein said difference value is calculated by:
comparing the value of said first and second pixels;
if said second pixel value is greater than said first pixel value then said first and second
pixel values are interchanged; and
subtracting said second pixel value from said first pixel value.
51. (New) A method as claimed in claim 47 wherein said difference value is calculated by:
comparing the value of said first and second pixels;
if said first pixel value is greater than said second pixel value then said first and second
pixel values are interchanged; and
subtracting said first pixel value from said second pixel value.
52. (New) A method as claimed in claim 39, including a plurality of lookup tables, and
wherein the lookup table to be utilized is selected based on properties of the overall image.
53. (New) A method as claimed in claim 44, including a plurality of lookup tables, and
wherein the lookup table to be utilized is selected based on properties of the overall image.
54. (New) A method as claimed in claim 46, including a plurality of lookup tables, and
wherein the lookup table to be utilized is selected based on properties of the overall image.
55. (New) A method as claimed in claim 47, including a plurality of lookup tables, and
wherein the lookup table to be utilized is selected based on properties of the overall image.
56. (New) A method as claimed in claim 39 further including the step of adding or
subtracting a constant to said added value, wherein said constant is selected based on properties
of the overall image.
57. (New) A method as claimed in claim 44 further including the step of adding or
subtracting a constant to said added value, wherein said constant is selected based on properties
of the overall image.

58. (New) A method as claimed in claim 46 further including the step of adding or subtracting a constant to said added value, wherein said constant is selected based on properties of the overall image.

59. (New) A method as claimed in claim 47 further including the step of adding or subtracting a constant to said added value, wherein said constant is selected based on properties of the overall image.

60. (New) A system for determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including:
an analysis means to determine a value of pixels on adjacent lines; and
a calculation means to determine a Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the pixel values determined by said analysis means on said adjacent lines;
wherein said RMS value determined by said calculation means is utilized to create said interpreted line.

61. (New) A system as claimed in claim 60 wherein said analysis means determines amplitude and hue of adjacent pixels.

62. (New) A system as claimed in claim 60 wherein said analysis means determines a value of R, G and B components of adjacent pixels, and said calculation means determines the RMS value for each said R, G and B component.

63. (New) A system as claimed in claim 60 wherein said calculation means determines the RMS value by:

$$\text{RMS Value} = \sqrt{\{A^2 + B^2\} / 2}$$

wherein A represents a pixel immediately above an interpreted pixel, and B represents a pixel immediately below said interpreted pixel.

64. (New) A system as claimed in claim 60 wherein said RMS value is approximated.

65. (New) A system as claimed in claim 64 further including a memory means to store approximate RMS values and wherein adjacent pixel values form an address to access said memory means.

66. (New) A system as claimed in claim 65 wherein said memory means is a ROM.

67. (New) A system as claimed in claim 64 further including a storage means to store approximate RMS values in a lookup table and wherein adjacent pixel values form an address to access said lookup table.

68. (New) A system for determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including:
an analysis means to determine the value of a first pixel located above an interpreted pixel, and the value of a second pixel located below said interpreted pixel;
a calculation means to determine a difference value between said first and second pixels;
a storage means to store a lookup table, wherein said difference value is an index to said lookup table, said index returning a table value; and
an adding means to add said table value to the lesser of said first and second pixel values;
wherein a value returned from said adding means is assigned to said interpreted pixel.

69. (New) A system as claimed in claim 68 wherein said lookup table is predefined such that the value returned from said adding means is an approximate RMS value of said first and second pixel values.

70. (New) A system as claimed in claim 68 wherein said storage means further includes a plurality of lookup tables, said system further including a selection means to select an appropriate table based on properties of the overall image.

71. (New) A system as claimed in claim 68 wherein said adding means further adds or subtracts a constant to said table value, said constant being based on properties of the overall image.

REMARKS


By this amendment, an Abstract has been inserted and the original claims replaced with new claims 31-71. New claims 31-71 are substantially the same as claims 1-30, except for removal of multi-dependency minor amendments to clarify antecedent basis for certain terms. Examination on the merits of the instant application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: December 10, 2001

By:



Gary D. Fedorochko
Registration No. 35,509

1001 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001-4597
(202) 508-9100

GDF:lab

Attachment:

Abstract of the Disclosure

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of determining the value of pixels on adjacent lines, determining the Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the pixels on adjacent lines, and utilizing the RMS value to create the interpreted line.

1. A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of determining the value of pixels on adjacent lines, determining the Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the pixels on adjacent lines, and utilizing the RMS value to create the interpreted line.

IMAGE ENHANCEMENT SYSTEM**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to scan line doublers for increasing the number of apparent scan lines of a display device to reduce the visibility of the scan line structure of the picture image. More particularly, the present invention relates to a television, computer monitor or video projector scan line doubler which includes a method that overcomes the limitations of pixel interpolation by scan line averaging.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

When all other sources of error and distortion have been removed or minimised by correction or compensation, standard PAL or NTSC colour video images become limited in quality by perceptibility of the line scan structure.

Subjective visibility of the line scan structure is a direct consequence of the limited number of horizontal scan lines in the standard composite picture, and is further a direct consequence of the field by field interface. A conventional PAL television frame at 25HZ repetition rate is composed of two fields eg F0 and F1. Each field includes 312.5 scan lines, each of which are separated by an unilluminated strip or band. Successive fields are offset so that the scan lines of the next field occupy the unilluminated strips of the present field. This arrangement is followed to minimize perception of 25Hz flicker in the resultant image.

The need to increase the number of scan lines is particularly evident in the application of Field Sequential 3D. In this instance, odd lines of the video image are used to carry the left eye image and even lines the right eye image. Thus, after de-multiplexing, the image intended for each eye is at half the resolution of the original video standard.

One approach to reducing the visibility of the line scan structure of the image calls for estimating, or interpolating, picture elements of additional scan lines from the picture elements already present in the picture image scanned in the conventional format. This prior approach is known in the art as "scan line doubling" or "line doubling", and calls for doubling the number of scan lines from 312.5 to 625 lines per field. Thus 625 lines are presented each 50th of a second.

One prior approach to pixel interpolation is carried out by an intra-field or spatial domain process. The pixel for the unilluminated band between two scan lines is derived as the average of the pixel amplitude and hue of the pixel in the scan line directly above and of the pixel in the scan line directly below. The main
5 drawback of this approach is the reduced resolution or softness of the resultant picture in the vertical dimension at edges and some perceptible 25Hz vertical flicker in the instance of sharp vertical transitions within the picture image.

OBJECTIVES OF THE INVENTION

A general objective of the present invention is to provide an improved
10 method and apparatus for television scan line doubling and display. The invention overcomes a number of limitations of the line averaging techniques of prior art and may be simply implemented in readily available hardware or software.

A more specific objective of the invention is to include a method whereby
15 the additional pixel amplitude and hue may be determined via mathematical calculation or a lookup table and applied based upon specific characteristics of the overall image.

With the above objectives in mind, the present invention provides a method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the
20 steps of:

determining the amplitude and hue of pixels on adjacent lines;

determining the Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the amplitude and hue
of the pixels on adjacent lines;

utilizing the RMS value to create said interpreted line.

25 The Root mean Square value may be calculated in hardware or software for each set of adjacent pixels. Alternatively, a lookup table could be used to approximate the Root Mean Square value.

These and other objects, aspects, advantages and features of the present invention will be more fully understood and appreciated upon consideration of
30 the following detailed description of a preferred embodiment, presented in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 depicts a segment of a video image.

Figure 2 depicts two tables showing average of two pixels, A and B, and the RMS of A and B.

5 Figure 3 depicts a hardware implementation of the invention.

Figure 4 depicts a simplified hardware implementation of the invention.

Figure 5 depicts the difference between two values A and B.

Figure 6 depicts a lookup table based upon the difference between A and B.

10 Figure 7 depicts a Pseudo RMS value of A and B.

Figure 8 depicts the true RMS value of A and B

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Figure 1 depicts a segment of a video image consisting of Field Zero line zero (F0), Field One line one (F1) and interpreted lines L0 and L1.

15 The individual pixels per line are indicated such that the first pixel on line one of Field 0 is marked PF0,1 the second pixel PF0,2 and the nth pixel PF0,n. Similar terminology is used throughout the figure.

The prior art has described one method of adding additional lines to an interlaced image by inserting an additional pixel having a value equal to the average of the value of the pixel in the line above and the pixel in the line below i.e. if the pixel above has a value A and the pixel below has a value B then the additional pixel will have a value equal to:

$$\text{New pixel} = (A+B)/2$$

25 The main shortcoming of this technique is that the resultant image tends to look softer or slightly out of focus. This is direct limitation of using a simple averaging technique. For example, if we consider a black and white image as the input source and the above line to be peak white and the below line to be black then the interpolated line will be grey.

30 In order to overcome this limitation it is considered desirable to insert an interpolated line containing pixels that are more closely associated with the luminance value of the brighter of the two pixels A and B.

In a preferred embodiment this invention discloses a new technique to

achieve this by taking the Root Mean Square (RMS) of the values of A and B rather than the average.

This is illustrated in figure 2 which contains two tables. The first table depicts A along the X axis and B along the Y axis and the average of A and B at the intersection.

The second table depicts A along the X axis and B along the Y axis and the RMS value of A and B at the intersection. The RMS value is calculated from:

$$\text{RMS Value} = \sqrt{(A^2 + B^2)/2}$$

By comparing the Average and RMS tables it is evident that:

1. When A = B, both mean and RMS process yield the same result;
2. When A > B or B > A then the result is squewed closer to the larger value, which is the desired result.

This RMS processing may be implemented in either hardware or software. A means of implementing the process in hardware is illustrated in figure 3. A pixel from a line in field F0 and a corresponding pixel from the line below in F1 are both passed simultaneously to squarer circuits. The output from each squarer is added and this result subsequently divided by two. This intermediate result has its square root taken and the resulting value becomes the new pixel.

If the image to be line doubled is in colour then the original image may well be in RGB format. If this is the case then each of the individual R, G and B values will require to be processed using the RMS method. Such a hardware implementation will require six squaring circuits and three square root circuits. Both the squaring and square root functions are comparatively difficult to implement in hardware. It is therefore another objective of this invention to disclose an alternative preferred embodiment that enables an RMS value to be calculated in an efficient and effective manner.

Figure 4 discloses an alternative preferred embodiment that simplifies the hardware implementation of the RMS process.

Figure 4 depicts a Read Only Memory (ROM) that requires an input address and provides data output dependant upon the input address. For illustrative purposes only, consider the pixel values to be quantised to 8 bits i.e. 256 individual levels.

The quantised pixels PF0,n and PF1,n are used to form an address for the ROM. At each unique address is stored a byte that approximates to the RMS value of PF0,n and PF1,n.

- It is desirable that the RMS process can be implemented within an ASIC or
- 5 FPGA. Using the ROM process of Figure 4, and assuming 8 bit RGB video then the number of input-output lines, external to the ASIC or FPGA, required to address the ROM's becomes excessive. Whilst a single ROM could be multiplexed across the R,G and B signals this may cause timing problems. In order to implement the RMS process within an ASIC or FPGA a simplified
- 10 implementation is disclosed.

An alternative preferred embodiment that simplifies the look up requirement such that the RMS process could be implemented within an ASIC or FPGA may operate as follows:

- Given above and below pixels A and B;
- 15 If $A < B$ then swap A and B such that A is always greater than or equal to B;
- If $A = B$ then the new pixel = A;
- Take the difference between A and B;
- Use the difference to index into a lookup table;
- 20 Add the value from the lookup table to B;
- Use this result as the value of the new pixel.

- In a practical implementation, comprising 8 bit RGB video, the lookup table would be contained in a ROM and the difference information would be used as the address, which would typically be 8 bits, of the data located in the ROM.
- 25 Thus in this implementation the ROM would contain a maximum of 256 addresses each containing an 8 bit value.

- Figures 5 through 8 illustrate this simplified process as follows. In figure 5 the A value is horizontal and the B value vertical. The table contains the difference between A and B where $A > B$ or $A = B$. Note: In order to simplify the
- 30 explanation A and B are assumed to take values of between 0 and 100 in steps of 10.

In figure 6 the table depicts the value that would be stored in the lookup

table for each difference between A and B.

Figure 7 shows the effect of applying the previously disclosed method of approximating the RMS value of A and B. In this figure the A value is again horizontal and the B value vertical. The union of A and B within the table is the
5 approximate RMS value of A and B, or a so called "Pseudo RMS" value.

If the results of figure 7 are compared with the true RMS values of A and B shown in figure 8 (which has been rounded up to zero decimal places) it will be seen that the percentage error in most cases is small and not significant in this particular application. This is due to the fact the eye is not sensitive to small
10 variations in colour or intensity.

The objective of this invention is to overcome the previously described shortcomings of simply adding an additional pixel that is the mean of A and B.

This is achieved by squewing the value of the additional pixel towards the larger value pixel.

15 Since, in the preferred embodiments, the value of the additional pixel is determined by deriving the RMS value of two existing pixels from vertically opposed lines and the RMS value determined, either derived accurately or an approximation, from a lookup table, then the values contained within the lookup table can be altered to provide the most aesthetically pleasing images.

20 In a preferred embodiment, different look up tables, or the same lookup table with different weightings, could be used depending upon the overall characteristics of the original image.

For example, should the overall image be particularly dark then it would be preferable to use interpolated pixels that are closer to the average value.
25 Alternatively, if the image contains areas of high contrast then an alternative table, or the same table with different weightings, may be used with values that enhance the contrast differences.

It will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that these techniques may be applied to the whole image or selectively over the image such that different
30 areas of the image may use different look up tables, or apply different weightings. The use of different tables or weightings could be determined by, but not limited to, brightness, contrast, colour, shading, hue, saturation, or marked

differences between these values over the image being processed.

Whilst the method and apparatus of the present invention has been summarised and explained by an illustrative application in television line doubling, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that many widely varying
5 embodiments and applications are within the teaching and scope of the present invention, and that the examples presented herein are by way of illustration only and should not be construed as limiting the scope of this invention.

11:41
11:42
11:43
11:44
11:45
11:46
11:47
11:48
11:49
11:50
11:51
11:52
11:53
11:54
11:55
11:56
11:57
11:58
11:59
12:00

THE CLAIMS DEFINING THE INVENTION ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:
 - determining the value of pixels on adjacent lines;
 - determining the Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the pixels on adjacent lines;
 - utilizing the RMS value to create said interpreted line.
2. A method as claimed in claim 1 wherein the step of determining the value of pixels on adjacent lines includes determining the amplitude and hue of said pixels.
3. A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:
 - determining the amplitude and hue of pixels on adjacent lines;
 - determining the Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the amplitude and hue of the pixels on adjacent lines;
 - utilizing the RMS value to create said interpreted line.
4. A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:
 - determining the value of R, G and B components of pixels on adjacent lines;
 - determining the Root Mean Square (RMS) value of each said R, G and B components of the pixels on adjacent lines;
 - utilizing each RMS value to create said interpreted line
5. A method as claimed in any preceding claim wherein said RMS value of an interpreted pixel is determined by:
$$\text{RMS Value} = \sqrt{(A^2 + B^2)/2}$$
Wherein A represents a pixel immediately above said interpreted pixel,

and B represents a pixel immediately below said interpreted pixel.

6. A method as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein said RMS value is approximated.

7. A method as claimed in claim 6 wherein said approximate RMS value is determined from a lookup table.

8. A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

determining the value of pixels on adjacent lines;

accessing a memory device utilising the value of said adjacent pixels as an address for said memory device wherein an approximate Root Mean Square (RMS) value of the pixels on adjacent lines is stored at said address;

utilizing the RMS value to create said interpreted line.

9. A method as claimed in claim 8 wherein said memory device is a ROM.

10. A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

determining the value of pixels on adjacent lines;

consulting a lookup table to determine the approximate Root Mean Square (RMS) value of said adjacent pixels

utilising the RMS value to create said interpreted line.

11. A method of determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including the steps of:

determining the value of a first pixel located above an interpreted pixel;

determining the value of a second pixel located below an interpreted pixel;

calculating the difference value between said first and second pixels;

utilising said difference value to index a lookup table and obtain a table value;

calculating an added value by adding said table value to the lesser of said first and second pixels;

assigning said calculated value to said interpreted pixel.

12. A method as claimed in claim 11 wherein said table value is predefined such that said added value is the approximate Root Mean Square (RMS) value of said first and second pixels.

13. A method as claimed in claim 11 or 12 wherein said table value is stored in a memory device and said difference value is the address to obtain said table value.

14. A method as claimed in any one of claims 11 to 13 wherein said difference value is calculated by:

comparing the value of said first and second pixels;

if said second pixel value is greater than said first pixel value then said first and second pixel values are interchanged;

subtracting said second pixel value from said first pixel value.

15. A method as claimed in any one of claims 11 to 13 wherein said difference value is calculated by:

comparing the value of said first and second pixels;

if said first pixel value is greater than said second pixel value then said first and second pixel values are interchanged;

subtracting said first pixel value from said second pixel value.

16. A method as claimed in any one of claims 7 to 13, including a plurality of lookup tables, and wherein the table to be utilised is selected based on the properties of the overall image.

17. A method as claimed in any one of claims 7 to 13 further including the step of adding or subtracting a constant to said added value, wherein said constant is

selected based on the properties of the overall image.

18. A system for determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including:

an analysis means to determine the value of pixels on adjacent lines; and

a calculation means to determine the Root Mean Square (RMS) value of pixel values detected by said analysis means on said adjacent lines;

wherein said RMS value determined by said calculation means is utilised to create said interpreted line.

19. A system as claimed in claim 18 wherein said analysis means determines the amplitude and hue of the adjacent pixels.

20. A system as claimed in claim 18 or 19 wherein said analysis means determines the value of R, G and B components of the adjacent pixels, and said calculation means determines the RMS value for each said R, G and B component.

21. A system as claimed in any one of claims 18 to 20 wherein said calculation means determines the RMS value by:

$$\text{RMS Value} = \sqrt{(A^2 + B^2)/2}$$

wherein A represents a pixel immediately above said interpreted pixel, and B represents a pixel immediately below said interpreted pixel.

22. A system as claimed in any one of claims 18 to 20 wherein said RMS value is approximated.

23. A system as claimed in claim 22 further including a memory means to store approximate RMS values and wherein said adjacent pixel values form an address to access said memory means.

24. A system as claimed in claim 23 wherein said memory means is a ROM.

25. A system as claimed in claim 22 further including a storage means to store approximate RMS values in a lookup table and wherein said adjacent pixel values form an address to access said lookup table.

26. A system for determining an interpreted line in a line doubling system including:

an analysis means to determine the value of a first pixel located above an interpreted pixel, and the value of a second pixel located below said interpreted;

a calculation means to determine a difference value between said first and second pixels;

a storage means to store a lookup table, wherein said difference value is an index to said lookup table, said index returning a table value;

an adding means to add said table value to the lesser of said first and second pixel values;

wherein the value returned from said adding means is assigned to said interpreted pixel.

27. A system as claimed in claim 26 wherein said lookup table is predefined such that the value returned from said adding means is the approximate RMS value of said first and second pixel values.

28. A system as claimed in any one of claims 25 to 27 wherein said storage means further includes a plurality of lookup tables, said system further including a selection means to select an appropriate table based on the properties of the overall image.

29. A system as claimed in any one of claims 25 to 27 wherein said adding means further adds or subtracts a constant to said table value, said constant being based on the properties of the overall image.

Fig 1.




Fig 3.




Fig 4.




Fig 5.

		Difference Between AandB A>B										
		0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
		0	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
10				0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80
20				0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80
30					0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
40						0	10	20	30	40	50	60
50							0	10	20	30	40	50
60								0	10	20	30	40
70									0	10	20	30
80										0	10	20
90											0	10
00												0

Fig 6.

Look Up Table												
0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90			
0	5	11	17	23	30	37	45	53	61			

Pseudo RMS

0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
10	0	15	21	27	33	40	47	55	63	71
20		20	25	31	37	43	50	57	65	73
30			30	35	40	47	53	60	67	75
40				40	45	51	57	63	70	77
50					50	55	61	67	73	80
60						60	65	71	77	83
70							70	75	81	87
80								80	85	91
90									90	95
00										100

Fig 7.

RMS

0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
10	10	16	22	29	36	43	50	57	64	71
20		20	25	32	38	45	51	58	65	72
30			30	35	41	47	54	60	67	74
40				40	45	51	57	63	70	76
50					50	55	61	67	73	79
60						60	65	71	76	82
70							70	75	81	86
80								80	85	91
90									90	95
00										100

Fig 8.

JOINT DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As the below named inventors, we hereby declare that:

Our residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to our names;

We believe we are the original, first and joint inventors of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled Image Enhancement System, the specification of which

- ☐ is attached hereto.
☐ was filed on _____ as Application Serial No. _____ and was amended on _____ (if applicable).
☒ was filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) and accorded International Application No. _____, filed _____, and amended on _____ (if any).
PCT/AU00/00673 16th June, 2000

We hereby state that we have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

We hereby acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

Prior Foreign Application(s)

We hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Country	Application No.	Date of Filing (day month year)	Date of Issue (day month year)	Priority Claimed Under 35 U.S.C. §119
Australia	PQ1018	17.06.1999		yes

Prior United States Provisional Application(s)

We hereby claim priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119(e)(1) of any U.S. provisional application listed below:

U.S. Provisional Application No.	Date of Filing (day month year)	Priority Claimed Under 35 U.S.C. §119(e)(1)

Prior United States Application(s)

We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, we acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Date of Filing (Day, Month, Year)	Status — Patented, Pending, Abandoned

Power of Attorney

And we hereby appoint, both jointly and severally, as our attorneys, all Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. attorneys indicated therein under PTO Customer Number #22907, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office.

All correspondence and telephone communications should be addressed to:

Banner & Witcoff, Ltd.
Customer Number: 22907 (WDC)

We hereby declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Signature 1-00 ✓ [Signature] Date ✓ 27 Nov 2001
Full Name of First Inventor Harman, Philip Victor
Family Name First Given Name Second Given Name
Residence Scarborough, W.A. Aust Citizenship Australian AUX
Post Office Address 45 Vantor Street, Scarborough, W.A. 6107, Australia.

Signature 2-00 ✓ [Signature] Date ✓ 27th Nov 2001
Full Name of Second Inventor Heavey, Patrick Joseph
Family Name First Given Name Second Given Name
Residence Wilson, W.A. Australia Citizenship Australian AUX
Post Office Address 38 Hooson Way, Wilson, W.A. 6107, Australia.

Signature _____ Date _____
Full Name of Third Inventor _____
Family Name First Given Name Second Given Name
Residence _____
Post Office Address _____

Signature _____ Date _____
Full Name of Fourth Inventor _____
Family Name First Given Name Second Given Name
Residence _____
Post Office Address _____